



10TH KOREAN RURAL ARCHITECTURE COMPETITION

open international ideas competition in one phase

September 2015

REPORT BY UIA REPRESENTATIVE

Dominique Gauzin-Müller

ORGANISATION OF THE MEETING

Preparatory documents provided

- ✓ Competition file
- ✓ Written report by Technical Committee

Jury

Was the jury complete?

The jury had 5 members. Emilien Gohaud replaced Charles Walker.

Elected chair:

Joh Sung-Yong was unanimously designated chair of the jury.

Number of entries received and geographic breakdown:

178 applicant teams entered the competition.

122 have submitted.

75 teams were Korean; the others were from all over the Globe.

Opinion of written and oral report by Technical Committee:

The Technical Committee had prepared a chart summarizing the information. There was no written report.

ADJUDICATION

Criteria

The projects were evaluated according to the quality of their architectural design and the adequacy with the competition brief and concept:

- *Integration in the environment and the context of the village,*
- *Efficient care of external space and connection to the village,*
- *Quality of the internal spaces and fitness for use,*
- *Realism of the proposition in terms of construction system and budget.*

Selection procedure

1st step:

Each jury member chose 30 projects among the 122 applications and the following discussion led to a first selection of 20 propositions.

2nd step:

Each jury member selected 7 projects. The winner was then chosen by consensus out of the last remaining applications.

WINNERS

Names and contact details

1st Prize:

NAAW, Alice Wong architect, Hong Kong

2nd Prize:

JY ARCHITECTURE, South Korea

skimA + VS-A.KR, South Korea

Daehak-ro Hapsa, South Korea

3rd Prize:

8architects, South Korea

Uponb, South Korea

a single, South Korea

8 Mentions

Double F, South Korea

M-lab, South Korea

TEAM_HK, South Korea

P.O.A.A., South Korea

Selective-Amplification, USA

SUNGGI PARK ARCHITECTURE, USA

Brickone Atelier, China

PASD, Germany

OVERALL IMPRESSION / OBSERVATIONS OF THE MEETING

Did you fulfill your mission as UIA representative under satisfactory conditions?

The jury was handled professionally and in a spirit of harmonious cooperation.

The organizing team and the other jury members were competent and friendly.

The conditions were satisfactory despite a few difficulties due to translation problems.


Overall quality of the entries:

The quality of the projects was quite diverse. Around 30 propositions were of good quality though there was no totally convincing project.

Any specific issues that arose:

During the jury, the communication between Korean, English and Japanese was sometimes difficult due to the lack of a professional Korean-English translator and the insufficient level of English of the organizing team. But the good will of all the participants helped to overcome these small problems.

Date and signature



Dominique Gauzin-Müller - September 23, 2015